



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

· APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/260,802	03/02/1999	STEVEN M. HOFFBERG	3459-11	6940
75	12/02/2002			
MILDE HOFFBERG & MACKLIN			EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW 10 BANK STREET			GORDON, PAUL P	
SUITE 460 WHITE PLAINS, NY 10606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2121	
			DATE MAILED: 12/02/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i'	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/260,802	HOFFBERG ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John Follansbee	2156				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>John Follansbee</u> .	(3)					
(2) Steven Hoffberg.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>15 November 2002</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-114</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney contacted John Follansbee to discuss issues of the case. Specifically, restriction requirement, interference proceedings, art rejections and 112(1) rejections. We discussed the issues, but did not come to an agreement. John Follansbee stated that he would consult a SPRE and call the attorney back.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
i)⊠ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a second checked).	eparate record of the substanc	e of the interview(if box is				
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	JOHN A. FOLL PRIMARY EX					
	<i>\(\)</i>	$\overline{}$				

}

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/260,802 HOFFBERG ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit John Follansbee 2156 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) John Follansbee. (2) Steven Hoffberg. Date of Interview: 27 November 2002. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: none. Identification of prior art discussed: none. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h \cap N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: John Follansbee called the attorney but the attorney was not there and did not have an answering machine to record the message . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on

JOHN A. FOLLANSBEE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner's signature, if required